

BART STUPAK
1ST DISTRICT, MICHIGAN
317 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
202-225-4735

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-2201

CC 92-77
OLA
92-77
4812

COMMITTEES:
ARMED SERVICES

SUBCOMMITTEES:
MILITARY ACQUISITIONS
MILITARY FORCES AND PERSONNEL

MERCHANT MARINE AND
FISHERIES

SUBCOMMITTEES:
COAST GUARD AND NAVIGATION
MERCHANT MARINE

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEE:
INFORMATION, JUSTICE, TRANSPORTATION
AND AGRICULTURE

September 22, 1994

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

DEC 1 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

The Honorable Reed Hundt
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

Enclosed please find correspondence I recently received from Mr. Robert Sullivan, the Jail Administrator in Benzie County, Michigan, which I represent.

Mr. Sullivan is concerned about changes the FCC is contemplating regarding Billed Party Preference. I would ask that his comments be made part of the permanent record.

Thank you for your assistance in regard to this matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me if I or members of my staff may be of service.

Sincerely,



BART STUPAK
Member of Congress

BTS\ahb

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List A B C D E

1229 W. WASHINGTON
MARQUETTE, MI 49855
(906) 228-3700

2501 14TH AVENUE SOUTH
ESCANABA, MI 49829
(906) 786-4504

616 SHELLEN
HOUGHTON, MI 49931
(906) 482-1371

160 E. STATE STREET
TRAVERSE CITY, MI 49684
(616) 929-4711

111 E. CHISHOLM
ALPENA, MI 49707
(517) 356-0690

TOLL FREE:
1-800-950-REP1

Sheriff
Paul Stiles



Undersheriff
Robert A. Blank

Box 116, Benzie, MI 49617
Phone: 616-882-4484
Fax: 616-882-5814

58859
9715 AHB

August 23, 1994

Representative Bart Stupak
1st District
317 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

RE: CC Docket No. 92-77 Opposition to Billed Party Preference

Dear Representative Stupak:

This correctional facility is opposed to the application of Billed Party Preference (BPP) at inmate facilities.

We opened our current facility two years ago and our security and administrative needs were analyzed prior to construction or implementing any equipment or procedures. Our citizens voted millage dollars to fund this facility and as a covenant of that trust we are obligated to provide the security they expect.

Through analysis it was determined that to provide the security required it was necessary to contract with a single carrier, therefore creating a bond of trust. A carrier in whom we can explain our needs and one that will realize our requirements. We cannot allow prisoners to have open access to the telecommunication network through networks that have no obligation to us nor training in dealing with inmate calls. BPP will take away our right to coordinate prison calls, fragment control over inmate telecommunication capabilities and limit our control over security.

Our facility has incorporated state of the art security controls, including phone equipment specifically designed for inmate calls. Security measures have been implemented to control the potential for abusive phone calls, and other criminal activity through the use of the telephone network. This equipment and additional inmate programs are funded through the revenues of the inmate phone service.

If BPP is implemented it will have severe repercussions. It will eliminate the revenue received for paying for the inmate phone system. The lack of revenue received from the inmate phone system will also eliminate additional inmate programs it now supports. The restrictions on the use of phones that will need to be implemented will have a direct impact on inmate morale. The use of phones, tv's and various other "perks" are also disciplinary tools,

which if removed will greatly impact morale, control, and relations among inmates.

If the reason behind the BPP is to limit abusive rates charged to the inmates families, we feel it would be better addressed by adopting a rate ceiling on inmate call charges and for the various correctional facilities to implement these ceilings in their contracts with their chosen inmate phone carrier. This will allow for the security measures we need to implement, assist the inmate by not increasing limits on phone usage and limit the financial constraint placed on their families.

In short, BPP would take away our ability to employ important security and administrative measures that we have found to be necessary at our facility, ultimately reducing inmate phone availability, which in turn decreases the efficiency of our staff. Please do not adopt regulations that interfere with our administrative and security decisions--decisions that are clearly within our discretion and which we have a public responsibility to make.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert Sullivan".

Robert Sullivan, Jail Administrator
Benzie County Sheriff's Department
505 South Michigan Avenue
Beulah, MI 49617

BART STUPAK
1ST DISTRICT, MICHIGAN

317 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
202-225-4735

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-2201

COMMITTEES:
ARMED SERVICES

SUBCOMMITTEES:
MILITARY ACQUISITIONS
MILITARY FORCES AND PERSONNEL

MERCHANT MARINE AND
FISHERIES

SUBCOMMITTEES:
COAST GUARD AND NAVIGATION
MERCHANT MARINE

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEE:
INFORMATION, JUSTICE, TRANSPORTATION
AND AGRICULTURE

September 22, 1994

Mr. Robert Sullivan
Jail Administrator
Benzie County Sheriffs Department
505 South Michigan Avenue
Beulah, MI 49617

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

Thank you for contacting me regarding the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) proposal to institute Billed Party Preference on collect phone calls. I appreciated hearing from you.

As a former law enforcement official, I certainly understand your concerns regarding this proposal. While, as it applies to the general public, I can understand customers wanting to ensure the best rate for calls they place, I understand that to move forward with this proposal may cause problems for law enforcement officials who monitor inmate and suspect phone calls.

I have taken the liberty of forwarding your concerns to Mr. Reed Hundt, Chairman of the FCC. The FCC is currently soliciting comments on this proposal and I have been assured that your letter will be made part of the permanent record. Furthermore, the FCC has suggested that they do not anticipate any action on this proposal in 1994. While the FCC will not need legislation passed to enact Billed Party Preference, please be assured that I will continue to monitor the rulemaking process and keep you informed.

Again, thank you for sharing your comments. Please do not hesitate to contact me if I or members of my staff may be of service.

Sincerely,



BART STUPAK
Member of Congress

BTS\ahb

1229 W. WASHINGTON
MARQUETTE, MI 49855
(906) 228-3700

2501 14TH AVENUE SOUTH
ESCANABA, MI 49829
(906) 786-4504

616 SHELLEN
HOUGHTON, MI 49931
(906) 482-1371

180 E. STATE STREET
TRAVERSE CITY, MI 49684
(616) 929-4711

111 E. CHISHOLM
ALPENA, MI 49707
(517) 356-0690

TOLL FREE:
1-800-950-REP1